

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6552 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:30.9.2016

Nagar Council Sunam

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Gajinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been directed against consistent findings recorded by the Courts below whereby application for interim injunction has been allowed by the trial Court vide order dated 07.12.2015 (Anexure P-2) and the order passed by the trial Court has been affirmed in appeal by the Additional District Judge, Sangrur on 28.03.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Counsel for the petitioner states that she does not press the petition on merits but some directions may be issued to the trial Court for disposal of the suit expeditiously. It is argued that as there is an order of status quo in favour of the respondents passed in December 2015, till date, the respondent has not adduced any evidence and he himself also did not appear in the witness box.

Without commenting upon merits of the case, the petition is disposed of. However, the trial Court would look into the matter as to whether a request for adjournment by the respondent/plaintiff for adducing evidence is justified or otherwise and the case would not be adjourned by the Court in routine.

SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

yes/no

Whether reportable

yes/no