

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 6437 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.01.2016**

Geeta Devi and others

... Petitioners.

versus

Dharam Wati and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Arvind Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate, for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J. (ORAL)

The daughter has brought a challenge to the release executed by the father as incompetent and not valid bring an ancestral property. The subsequent allegations by the releasee are also put to challenge whether the plaintiff herself is not the party and she seeks to avoid a transaction as legally not valid and binding. There is no need to set aside the sale or pay ad valorem court fee on the market value of the property. If there is a prayer to set aside, it must be construed a document not binding and not required to be set aside.

The order already passed dismissing the application for rejection of the plaint on the ground that the suit is not properly valued is upheld and the revision petition is dismissed. `

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

12.01.2016

kv