

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6487 of 2016
Date of decision : 06.12.2016

Naveen Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Gurmukh Singh and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

This Court had called the report of the concerned officer vide order dated 27.10.2016. As per the report, it has come on record that two counsels representing the parties do not belongs to local Bar and the adjournments are being sought by one or other counsel at various times. Though, due to inadvertence zimni order do not indicate the request made by particular counsel and that fact has been regretted. Once this Court on 22.08.2016 had already granted time limit to the Court below but no issue has been addressed, the parties shall be at liberty to seek the compliance of the order as expeditiously as possible, in view of the observation made herein above as the Court was not instrumental for not complying with the order.

With the aforementioned observations, revision petition stands

disposed of.

During the course of hearing, Mr. Gupta, Advocate has informed that vide order dated 21.11.2016, the third party objections have been dismissed. The order has not yet been released. If it is so, petitioner is at liberty to seek execution of the decree, in accordance with law.

06.12.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No