

219.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.6057 of 2014

Date of decision:09.02.2016

Krishna Devi

... Petitioner

versus

Smt. Raman Kumari and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Dharm Chand Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. After hearing the argument of the counsel for the petitioner-defendant, I am convinced that the document which has been received in rebuttal would be required to be tested in cross-examination of the plaintiff who seeks to rely on the said document. The defendant will have that opportunity. Accordingly, the plaintiff is ordered to be recalled only for the purpose of cross-examination on the document filed at the rebuttal stage.

2. The impugned order is modified and the revision petition is allowed to the above extent.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

09.02.2016

sanjeev