

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6319 of 2016
Date of decision : 23.09.2016

Avtar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Amarenderjeet Singh and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-plaintiff is aggrieved of the impugned order dated 05.09.2016, whereby evidence of the petitioner has been closed.

Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, he will conclude the evidence.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff and appraised the paper book.

In order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner-plaintiff to conclude the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside and the petitioner-plaintiff shall be given liberty to conclude the evidence, subject to the cost of ₹5,000/-, which shall be

condition precedent. If the cost is not paid as directed, the order passed already by the Courts below shall stands restored.

The revision petition is allowed.

23.09.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No