

218

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-6170-2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : 08.08.2016

Parminder Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Jagjit Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Vadhera, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners-defendants are aggrieved of the impugned orders dated 15.12.2009 (Annexure P-5) of the trial Court and 17.08.2013 (Annexure P-6) of the lower Appellate Court, whereby they have been restrained from dispossessing the respondents-plaintiffs forcibly and illegally.

The suit is of the year 2009 and is still at the stage of the plaintiff's evidence as per the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioners-defendants.

Without pondering upon the merits and demerits of the matter and particularly when the respondents-plaintiffs have been found in

possession, I dispose of the revision petition with the direction to the trial Court to expedite the disposal of the trial as expeditiously as possible within a period of six months by affording 3-3 effective opportunities each to the parties from the receipt of the certified copy of the order.

Accordingly, the revision petition stands disposed of by upholding the impugned orders aforementioned.

08.08.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

✓

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No