

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.6075 of 2012

Date of Decision.31.03.2016

Ajmer Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Surinder Pal Kaur

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

The revision petition is dismissed as infructuous, having regard to the fact that the suit in which the interim order has been passed appears to have been dismissed for default. It will be open to the petitioners to seek for revival of this petition if the suit is also restored to file.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 31, 2016
Pankaj*