

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6214 of 2016
Date of Decision: September 21, 2016

Madhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Ravi Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

No ground for interference is made out as the petition is pre-mature.

Dismissed as pre-mature.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file the petition at an appropriate stage in case need arises.

September 21st, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No