

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6195 of 2016
Date of decision: 20.9.2016

Baghel Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Vishwas Construction Company and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Present revision is directed against the order passed by the court below, whereby the application of the plaintiff/petitioner for appointment of a Local Commissioner has been rejected.

It has been urged before the Court that prayer of the petitioner ought to have been allowed in order to know the correct position at the spot where the property is situated. This plea has been rejected by the court observing that the petitioner would have ample opportunity to prove his case at appropriate time.

I find no infirmity with the impugned order. Admittedly, an interim injunction has already been granted directing the parties to maintain status quo with regard to suit land till further orders. It is also directed that compliance of Order 39 Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure be made immediately.

There is no ground to interfere. Revision is dismissed.

20.9.2016
prem

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No