

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6164 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision:4.10.2016

Jasbir Singh

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Satpal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been directed against order dated 30.07.2016 passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Karnal whereby property in the name of Harminder Singh son of the judgment debtor has been ordered to be attached in execution of a decree dated 13.02.2012 passed by the trial Court whereby the decree-holders/plaintiffs have been held entitled to recover double of the amount of earnest money along with interest.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that Harminder Singh has already filed objections against attachment of his property that are pending before the executing Court. It is further submitted that the judgment debtor wants to settle the dispute with the decree holders.

As the impugned order has been passed by the executing Court for attachment of property of Harminder Singh, the petitioner/JD possibly cannot raise an objection against the impugned order. On the contrary, if the petitioner/JD wants to settle the dispute, he may take recourse to appropriate proceedings before the executing Court. That being so, the petition is disposed of.

OCTOBER 4, 2016

‘D. Gulati’

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

yes/no

Whether reportable :

yes/no