

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.5955 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29.03.2016

Ramesh Chander Suri

..... Petitioner

Versus

Manish Jain and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order dated 08.08.2012

(Annexure P-7) granting leave to defend to the respondent/tenant.

On 06.10.2012 when the petition came up for motion hearing
the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel prays for an adjournment to place
certain documents on record.*

Adjourned sine die.

*To be listed as and when an application is moved in this
regard.”*

Thereafter no documents were placed on record and ultimately

the case was ordered to be listed in motion hearing as per general order

dated 25.02.2016 passed by Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice. Today learned counsel appears and states that he does not wish to place on record any document and is seeking to argue the case on merits. He has however fairly admitted that after the impugned order the trial of the case is proceeding.

In these circumstances, considering the fact that almost 3½ years have passed since leave to defend was granted, I find no justification to take any further action on this petition.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 29, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE