

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6082 of 2015

Date of decision : 31.03.2016

Karambir and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Prem Pal and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Hari Om Sharma, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Both the parties are present in the Court and are *ad idem* that in case respondent-defendant is compensated with adequate compensation, he shall appear before Registrar for execution and registration of the sale deed.

Karambir (petitioner) and Prempal (respondent) are present in Court today.

Petitioner is willing to compensate the respondents with the payment of interest at the rate of 9% p.a. w.e.f. 13.05.2015 over and above the balance amount to be paid.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties who have given the

consent after having taken instruction from client who are present in Court and I direct the parties to appear before the concerned Registrar on 20.04.2016 for execution and registration of the sale deed by bringing the balance sale consideration in the shape of demand draft and compensation by way of cash which shall be entailing the interest of 9% p.a. on the balance sale consideration to be calculated w.e.f. 13.05.2015 till 19.04.2016.

Accordingly, impugned order is set aside.

Revision petition stands disposed of with aforementioned direction.

31.03.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**