

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

FAO No.2573 of 2002 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 30, 2016

Manpreet Singh

... Appellants

Versus

Onkar Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. V. Ram Swaroop, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.

Claimant has challenged the findings of the tribunal on the limited question of computation of compensation.

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the tribunal has granted only Rs.29,000/- as compensation on account of injuries suffered by him in the accident.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, however, submits that the tribunal has correctly assessed the compensation as the accident was caused due to contributory negligence of the appellant himself. There is, thus no scope for enhancement of same.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

It appears that accident took place on 24.4.1999, wherein the appellant suffered injuries. The tribunal apportioned liability of petitioner and respondent No.1 in the ratio of 50:50 in causing the

accident. It assessed a total compensation of Rs.58,000/- on account of medical treatment including medicines, special diet etc. and after deducting 50% amount of the aforesaid amount on account of his equal liability in causing the accident, he was granted a sum of Rs.29,000/- as compensation. I am of the considered view that there is no error in the impugned award. Thus, no interference in the appellate jurisdiction of this court is called for.

Dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

August 30, 2016
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No