

116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.60 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.01.2016

Harvir Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Satish Chandra Moulree

..... Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Tewari

Present: Mr. Kulbhushan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Yogesh Sharma, Advocate for the respondent-
caveator.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Ajay Tewari, J. (Oral):

This petition has been filed against concurrent orders of eviction passed against the petitioner.

With the intervention of learned counsel the matter has been amicably resolved and it has been agreed that the petitioner-tenant shall vacate the premises on or before 31.05.2016 subject to the condition that he shall pay the entire due rent up to that date on or before 01.02.2016 and undertakes to pay the other charges such as water and electricity to the respondent-landlord.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Let both the sides file the necessary undertaking within one month before the Rent Controller. In case the petitioner does not file the undertaking this petition would be dismissed. In case the respondent does not file the undertaking the petition would be admitted and there would be stay of dispossession.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

January 08, 2016
sunita