

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 6004 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.02.2016**

Gurnam Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Vinod Kumar and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (ORAL)

The objection under Order 7 Rule 41 CPC has been accepted. The decree under Section 2(i) includes an order rejecting a plaint. The remedy is only to prefer an appeal under Section 96 CPC, the civil revision petition is not competent.

The civil revision petition is dismissed but the petitioner is at liberty to prefer an appeal in accordance with law. If there is a delay, the petitioner will have a resort to Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

03.02.2016

kv