

Civil Revision No.6 of 2015

{ 1 }

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6 of 2015

Date of Decision: August 27, 2016

Satish Kumar Chopra

...Petitioner

Versus

Sunil Kumar & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Ms.Puja Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Gurpreet Jayia, Advocate for
Mr.Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-defendant is aggrieved of the impugned order passed by the Lower Appellate Court allowing the Misc.Appeal of the respondent-plaintiffs restraining the defendants from alienating the suit property.

Ms.Puja Chopra, learned counsel for the petitioner-defendant submits that the trial is at the evidence stage. The respondent-plaintiffs have no right to seek restraint order as the suit is based upon

false averments.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and appraised the paper book.

The suit has been filed in the year 2011. Since the trial is at the evidence stage, I deem it appropriate to direct the trial Court to dispose of the suit as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The order under challenge is upheld. Revision petition stands disposed of.

August 27, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No