

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.575 of 2016
Date of Decision.29.01.2016**

Mani Ram and another	Vs.	Petitioners
Rakesh Kumar and others		Respondents

Present: Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is aggrieved that stay application filed along with the suit is not being considered by the trial Court. According to him, he has already challenged the vesting that is alleged to have been taken place in favour of the Panchayat in writ petition and the matter awaits decision of the Court after full-fledged arguments before the five Member Bench. There has been a order of stay by the High Court in the writ petition challenging the vesting. The defendant is a person who is transferee from the Panchayat on the basis of alleged vesting. The application for stay is not being taken up and respondents are now trying to put up some construction.

2. I dispense with notice to the respondents and direct the trial Court to take up the application for injunction after serving notice to the defendants within two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the fact of disposal to this Court. The revision petition is allowed with the above direction.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 29, 2016