

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.574 of 2016
Date of Decision.29.01.2016**

Nitin Ahlawat

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Savita

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Puneet Bassi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The order passed by the Court below is set aside and the matter is remitted to the trial Court for consideration of the fact that there had been a change of circumstance that after the order was passed determining the maintenance, the wife has come by gainful employment and that factor should be taken notice of.

2. I dispense with notice to the respondent having regard to the nature of intervention made without interfering with the merits of the contentions and dispose of the revision petition at this stage.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 29, 2016
Pankaj*