

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.5711 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:05.09.2016

Mahender

... Petitioner

Vs.

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Nitin Goyal, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the impugned order dated 10.08.2016 (Annexure P-5), whereby, his application to compare the contents of the application dated 15.11.2000 with the sample writing of the defendant by an Handwriting Expert, has been dismissed.

Mr. Nitin Goyal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner at bar submits that the petitioner is willing to give specimen signatures.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and appraised the paper book and of the view that application for getting the signatures compared on the alleged document relied upon by the respondent had been moved at the stage when the defendant-petitioner had availed numerous opportunities. It is a common practice that such persons while offering

specimen signatures, give the different one than the relevant for the period. The defendant ought to have placed on record some material nearby to the alleged date 15.11.2000. The petitioner applied for conversion of his domestic electricity supply to non-domestic electricity supply.

The petitioner is at liberty to bring on record certain material to convince the Court for getting the signatures compared. This will not prevent the petitioner to seek assistance of the Court, in case, the material with regard to relevant point of time is already on record.

Accordingly, the revision petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

September 05, 2016
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No