

CR-567-2015

1

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-567-2015

Date of decision : 04.04.2016

Narinder Bansal

... Petitioner

Versus

Dr.Jaya Bansal and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr.H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sonal Dutta, Advocate
for Mr. V.M. Gupta, Advocate
for the rspondents.

K.KANNAN, J.(ORAL)

The revision petition is at the instance of the defendant whose objection for preparing and filing site plan was rejected and the Court reasoned the draftsman who had prepared the site plan earlier Sh. Nand Lal Sharma had expired and it would be appropriate to find the structural position of the suit property for bringing on record the site plan after inspection by draftsman. The Court has observed that no prejudice will be caused to the defendant if such plan is prepared and filed in Court.

The plaintiff and the defendant have closed the evidence and

the dispute is for the relief of partition where identity of the property with the constructions obtains significance. I do not think that there is any defect in the order for intervention.

The order is maintained. The civil revision is dismissed. The defendant is at liberty to cross-examine the witness who drafts the plan and elicit any matter which is favourable and relevant.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

April 04, 2016.
Davinder Kumar