

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5357 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 14, 2016

Y.P. Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Mrs. Rajni Airi

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Virender Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. PK Mutneja, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. In view of the contention taken by the petitioner that the documents brought as additional evidence may be exhibited as document without any objection, no oral evidence is necessary. All the documents filed in original and which were required to be received as additional evidence are ordered to be received and exhibit numbers assigned and the appeal will be heard and disposed of by the appellate court on the basis of the documents as undisputed documents.

2. The revision petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 14, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE