

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.556 of 2016  
Date of Decision : 29.01.2016**

Niranjan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Shanti Devi

..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed against the order dated 17.12.2015 passed by the Rent Controller, Rupnagar declining application for the amendment of written statement.

The respondent had filed a petition for ejectment of the petitioner on the ground of non-payment as well as the personal necessity. In the original reply, the petitioner had admitted that he had taken the premises in dispute on rent from the respondent and further asserted that he had regularly been paying rent to her but since 2012 she has not been giving any receipt. Thereafter the present application has been filed to take the

plea that in fact the respondent was not the owner/landlord. This averment has been rejected by the Rent Controller holding that once the petitioner has accepted that had taken the premises in dispute on rent from the respondent and had been paying rent regularly he would not be allowed to now alter his position by moving the amendment application.

I find no reason to take a different view to that taken by the Rent Controller. Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**January 29, 2016**

*ashish*

**( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE**