

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
15:07
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 5391 of 2013
Date of decision : January 14, 2016

Sangeeta and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Nanudi and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the revision petition to file afresh with better particulars by challenging the order dated 7.8.2013 and subsequent order dated 26.8.2013.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforementioned.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 14 , 2016
archana