

215.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5147 of 2014 (O&M)**

Date of decision:27.04.2016

Mandir Balmiki

... Petitioner

versus

Mata Sant Ram Dassi and another

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

----

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate,  
for Mr. J.K. Goel, Advocate,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

----

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

----

**K.Kannan, J. (Oral)**

At the previous hearing, the petitioner was directed to explain as to how he could maintain the petition under Section 92 CPC which was outside the preview of the clauses (a) to (h) set out in law. There is no representation for the petitioner. The petition is dismissed. I have not gone into the issue of limitation, for, the petition is disposed of as incompetent for the reason that the relief claimed falls outside the scope of Section 92 CPC.

**(K.KANNAN)  
JUDGE**

27.04.2016

sanjeev