

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.5344 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:22.08.2016

Iqbal Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Manohar Lall, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the grounds of revision petition provided that a direction may be issued to the Lower Appellate Court to decide the application seeking restoration of the appeal as the order under challenge was passed on the application for condonation of delay in filing the application seeking restoration of the appeal, i.e., under Order 41 Rule 19 of Code of Civil Procedure. In this regard, he has drawn the attention of this Court to the various zimni orders extracted in the grounds of revision petition.

I am in agreement with the aforementioned submission of Mr. Lall, for the reasons that 52 adjournments have been taken by the other side in not arguing the matter. Since Mr. Lall, has not pressed the revision petition on merits, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present revision petition with a direction to the Lower Appellate Court to decide the

application stated to be pending for restoration of the appeal as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of one month and main appeal within another period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, revision petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 22, 2016
savita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No