

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. CR No. 5224 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: February 19,2016

Renu

.....Petitioner

VS.

M/s Fancy General Store and another

.....Respondents

2. CR NO. 5618 of 2013(O&M)

Renu and others

....Petitioners

Vs.

M/s Fancy General Store and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. Tarun Singhal, Advocate for
Mr. N.K.Vadehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

K.KANNAN,J(Oral)

Notice could not be issued to the respondent because of non-filing of process fee. Even for the previous date of hearing i.e 11.12.2015, notice could not be issued on account of non-filing of the Process Fee. Counsel seeks more time to

file the Process Fee. The request is declined.

The Revisions are dismissed.

FEBRUARY 19 , 2016

Rajeev

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE