

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5262 of 2016**

**Date of Decision: August 19, 2016**

**Rajveer Kaur & another**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Iqbal Singh**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE**

**Present: Mr.Satinder Kumar Rana, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.**

**\*\*\*\*\***

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

Petitioners are aggrieved of awarding of paltry amount of maintenance pendente lite to the tune of ₹3,000/- to petitioner No.1-wife and ₹2,000/- to petitioner No.2-daughter by assessing the income of the respondent-husband as a daily wager.

Mr.Satinder Kumar Rana, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the trial Court had not taken into consideration Annexure P-3, an application submitted by the respondent for obtaining the driving licence of a heavy vehicle. In fact, he is owning a transport company and as well as a parking space of 20 cars. His income is not less than ₹50,000/- per month and, therefore, keeping in view this fact, the maintenance pendent lite, as noticed above, is too less/paltry and liable to

be enhanced.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and appraised the paper book.

The application for interim maintenance has already been decided on the basis of the affidavit. The factum of having parking space of 20 cars and heavy vehicle was not proved. If at all, the petitioners are able to obtain the information with regard to the averments in the application, they can always seek enhancement of the maintenance pendente lite, but so far there has not been any proof of the same. Application (Annexure P-3) only shows that the respondent had experience of driver with Guru Nanak Roadways (Reg.) Bopa Road, Muzafar Nagar (U.P.). That would not prove his ownership/partnership.

In my view, the trial Court, by taking into consideration the income of the respondent, awarded the maintenance pendent lite in favour of the petitioners, which is fair and justified.

Accordingly, the revision petition is dismissed.

**August 19, 2016**  
**ramesh**

**( AMIT RAWAL )**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned**

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No**