

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR-5226 of 2016

Date of decision: 17.08.2016

SUNIL KUMAR

-Petitioner

Versus

SUNITA DEVI

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Bhupesh Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioner

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

[1]. Vide order dated 16.05.2016 passed by Additional District Judge, Rewari only an interim maintenance to the tune of Rs.6000/- per month has been granted to the wife besides Rs.15,000/- as litigation expenses.

[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the award of interim maintenance is on the higher side, keeping in view the resources available to the petitioner.

[3]. At this stage, this Court is not in a position to appreciate the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner as both the parties would be at liberty to adduce relevant evidence to prove their respective claim before the trial Court.

[4] I see no reason to interfere in the award of interim maintenance passed by Additional District Judge, Rewari on 16.05.2016 at this stage.

[5]. In view of aforesaid, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

17.08.2016
Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**