

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5070 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : 18.03.2016

Surjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sukhwinder Kaur @ Balwinder Kaur and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. D.R. Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners-defendants seek one opportunity as the evidence of the petitioners has been closed vide impugned order.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present revision petition and in order to prevent the miscarriage of justice and to advance justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one opportunity to the petitioners to lead entire evidence in accordance with law, subject to the payment of cost of ₹5,000/-.

Accordingly, impugned order is set aside.

Revision petition stands allowed.

18.03.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**