

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.5122 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 12, 2016

Bhajan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Avtar Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Shalender Mohan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Praveen Hans, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the suit has been decided. Learned counsel for the petitioner feigns ignorance.

Be that as it may, in case the suit is dismissed, probably no cause of action survives, even if the statement suffered by the learned counsel for the respondent is found to be incorrect.

Dismissed as infructuous.

July 12 , 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE