

255

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.5043 of 2016

Date of Decision: 16.12.2016

WATTAN CHAND

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Mattewal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Harsh Manocha, Advocate for
Mr. R.K. Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

[1]. Petitioner has assailed order dated 11.05.2016 (Annexure P-6) passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Hoshiarpur, vide which remaining evidence of the plaintiff was closed by order of the Court.

[2]. Notice of motion was issued by this Court on 22.08.2016 in order to explore possibility whether respondent No.1 is ready to accept heavy cost or not?

[3]. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 very fairly

state that respondents are ready to accept heavy cost provided only one opportunity is given to the plaintiff/petitioner to conclude his entire evidence.

[4]. In view of concurrence shown by both the learned counsel for respondents, impugned order dated 11.05.2016 is hereby set aside, subject to payment of cost of Rs.20,000/- payable to the respondents in equal proportion. Trial Court shall give one opportunity to the plaintiff/petitioner for adducing entire evidence on his own responsibility. Payment of cost shall be the condition precedent for granting indulgence by the trial Court.

[5]. In view of aforesaid, this revision petition is disposed of.

December 16, 2016

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No