

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4861-2012

Date of decision : 18.03.2016

Mehar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Tarsem Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Kamboj, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

As per order dated 02.07.2015, learned counsel for the petitioner had withdrawn his power of attorney and notice was issued to the petitioner. As per the office report dated 16.10.2015, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back served, but no body has put in appearance on his behalf. It appears that the petitioner is not interesting in pursuing the revision petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

18.03.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE