

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
09/03/2016 10:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 4957 of 2015
Date of decision : March 10, 2016

Shanti Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Meera and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. J. S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that application for seeking vacation of the interim/impugned order is pending but owing to the pendency of the present revision petition, the same has not been decided.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts and circumstances, the revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial court to decide the application for vacation of interim/impugned order as expeditiously as possible.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 10 , 2016
archana