

241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4952-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 04.07.2016

Sarabjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Parminder Kaur

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Khattar, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present revision petition has arisen out of the impugned order dated 30.05.2015 granting interim maintenance @ ₹ 20,000/- in a divorce petition instituted at the instance of the respondent-wife. However, during the interregnum, owing to the non-payment of the maintenance, defence of the petitioner-husband has been struck off. The divorce petition, aforementioned, has been decided in favour of the respondent-wife and the divorce has been granted. Since, the order of the maintenance is executable, the respondent-wife shall be entitled to seek the execution, in case, something survives.

However, in view of the fact that no cause of action survives in

the matter, the revision petition is ordered to be dismissed as infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

04.07.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE