

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4917 of 2016
Date of decision:05.08.2016

Savita Devi

... Petitioner

Vs.

Naveen Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. H.P.S.Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Petitioner-wife is seeking enhancement of maintenance pendente lite to the tune of ₹15,000/ instead of ₹5,000/- and litigation expenses ₹20,000/- instead of ₹5,000/- as awarded by the Court below.

Mr. H.P.S.Ishar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-wife submits that admitted income of the respondent is ₹42,000/- and the Court below has erred in awarding meager amount of maintenance.

Perusal of salary certificate, Annexure P-3 shows that after deduction the carry home salary of the respondent is ₹28,586/-. Admittedly, the children are living with the husband. I am of the view that a sum of ₹5,000/- for a single lady is sufficient.

In view of the aforementioned, I do not intend to differ with the findings rendered by the Court below, much less, the impugned order cannot be said to have been passed without jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the revision petition stands dismissed. However, a liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application as and when certain facts surface with regard to increased income of the husband.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 05, 2016
savita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No