

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 4927 of 2015
Date of decision : May 25, 2016

Naveen

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Adish Gupta , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D. K. Mittal, DAG., Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wants to withdraw the revision petition as already controversy with regard to the payment of TDS from the compensation has been set at rest by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **Sunder Lal and another Vs. Union of India and others in CWP No. 20014 of 2015 decided on 21.9.2015.**

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the revision petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 25 , 2016
archana