

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**Civil Revision No.4839 of 2016(O & M)
Date of Decision:01.08.2016**

Vishnu and others

....petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.S.K.Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

Vide order being assailed, dated 05.07.2016, rendered by Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bhiwani, application moved by the petitioners-plaintiffs, to adduce additional evidence, has since been dismissed.

Concededly, both the parties had led and concluded their respective evidence and the matter was posted for rebuttal and arguments. In terms of para 8 of the application moved by the petitioners, by way of additional evidence, petitioners intended to produce certain documents to rebut the evidence that had been led by the defendants. Needless to assert, that evidence that is intended to be led in rebuttal to the defendants' evidence cannot be adduced by way of additional evidence. Thus, the application moved by the petitioners was wholly misconceived. Even otherwise, nothing was specified, in the application for additional evidence, as to the nature of the evidence that was proposed to be led.

Learned counsel for the petitioners could not point out as to how the order under challenge suffers from any material irregularity or illegality.

That being so, no interference is warranted in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The petition being devoid of merit is accordingly dismissed.

01.08.2016

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No