

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 481 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.01.2016**

Raj Rani

... Petitioner

versus

Uttam Sarangal @ Raju and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (ORAL)

There is no justification for the petitioner to arrive to this Court to complain that his side has been closed. If the side is closed without affording full opportunity to give further evidence, the option open will be apply to the same Court and give details of why he cold not produce all the evidence on the day when it was posted and give sufficient explanation for giving the additional evidence which he wants tobring. If such a prayer is made, the trial Court itself will consider the request and allow for further progress of trial.

The revision petition is disposed of making no intervention, giving the liberty to the petitioner to approach the trial Court itself for suitable orders in the lights of the procedure prescribed in Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others, 2013 (1) PLR 404.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

25.01.2016

kv