

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.4728 of 2016**

**Date of Decision: 27.07.2016**

**SATISH KUMAR**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs**

**SHIKHA**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present:Mr. Ashish Bansal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

[1]. Facts giving rise to the present revision petition are that in a petition under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (hereinafter to be referred as 'the Act'), the applicant-wife filed application under Section 24 of the Act seeking grant of maintenance *pendente lite* on the ground that her marriage was solemnized with the petitioner-herein on 21.10.2009 according to Hindu Rites and ceremonies and it was registered on 21.11.2009.

[2]. In the aforesaid petition it was pleaded that the

husband was already having two sons from his previous wife namely Jaswinder Kaur from whom he had obtained mutual divorce under Section 13-B of the Act on 16.10.2009. Allegations were made that the husband had ignored the applicant-wife and she had no means to maintain herself. Husband was running clinical laboratory and was earning handsome amount. Taking into consideration the status of both the parties, trial Court granted an amount of Rs.6,000/- per month as maintenance *pendente lite* besides granting an amount of Rs.5,000/- towards litigation expenses.

[3]. The husband/petitioner has challenged the aforesaid order dated 02.03.2016 by way of present petition on the ground that his total income is Rs.12,000/- per month and he has to maintain his children.

[4]. In considered opinion of this Court, the amount of maintenance *pendente lite* granted by the trial Court cannot be held to be on higher side. The applicant-wife has pleaded that the husband was earning an amount of Rs.50,000/- to Rs.60,000/- per month from his clinical laboratory which are two in number.

[5]. At this stage, no such opinion can be made with regard to the exact income of the husband/petitioner, but in any case

the grant of Rs.6,000/- per month towards maintenance *pendente lite* cannot be held to be on higher side.

[6]. In view of aforesaid, I find no reason to differ with the grant of maintenance awarded by the trial Court. Consequently, this revision petition is dismissed.

July 27, 2016

*Atik*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No