

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.471 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 11, 2016

Union of India & others

...Petitioners

Versus

M/s Jagdish Raj and Bros. & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Dr.Ashwanie Kumar Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

This Court, vide order dated 18.1.2016, dismissed Civil Revision No.319 of 2016 involving the same question. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that the review application filed against the same has also been dismissed.

The present revision petition is also dismissed in terms of the order dated 18.1.2016 passed in Civil Revision No.319 of 2016.

April 11, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE