

208.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4565 of 2013

Date of decision:05.02.2016

Jasvir Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Chetan Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Rajeev Sharma, advocate,
for Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The petition is dismissed, for, the person, who is appearing before me, has no clue what the case is about. It is a case where the court has ordered notice 3 years back and on such occasion, the court has observed that notice could not be issued to the respondent. The proxy counsel asks for adjournment. I decline the same for the brazen non-compliance of an elementary activity of not paying process fee to enable the service of notice on the respondent.

2. Dismissed for default for non-prosecution.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

05.02.2016

sanjeev