

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.464 of 2016

Date of Decision : 25.01.2016

Krishan Mohan and others

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ajay Aggarwal and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent No.3.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order dated 05.01.2016 passed by the Rent Controller, Hisar not permitting the petitioners-tenant to examine the draftsman who had sanctioned the plan whereby another wall was constructed and a small shop of 3.4 x 8 feet was carved out.

The trial Court has held that three experts had already deposed that the main building was more than 100 years old and needed to be demolished and reconstructed and the said draftsman was neither an expert nor his evidence would have any impact on the report of the experts.

In the circumstances, I find no reason to take a different view to

that taken by the Rent Controller.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil
miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 25, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**