

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.460 of 2016

Date of Decision : 25.01.2016

Karamjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sona Singla

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order dated 03.07.2015 passed by the Rent Controller, Dhuri declining the extension of time to deposit the provisional rent.

In the first place, I find that the impugned order was passed as far back as on 03.07.2015 i.e. more than 6½ months ago.

Learned counsel states that since the present is a petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India there is no prescribed period of limitation.

In my opinion, that may be so but even the exercise of discretionary relief can be defeated by latches and delay. Secondly as has

been noticed by the Court below, even in the first place a period of more than 45 days was granted to the petitioner to make the payment of the provisional rent and the application for extension of time was not even supported by an affidavit.

In the circumstances, no fault can be found with the impugned order.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 25, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**