

202.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.5423 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of decision:14.01.2016

Union of India and another

... Petitioners

versus

Smt. Kesar Devi (deceased, through her LRs) and others.

.... Respondents

II. Civil Revision No.5424 of 2010 (O&M)

Union of India and another

... Petitioners

versus

Smt. Omi and others

.... Respondents

III. Civil Revision No.4901 of 2010 (O&M)

Union of India and another

... Petitioners

versus

Smt. Shanti Devi and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sidharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. This is a case where on the default of the revision

petitioners at the previous hearing, petitions were dismissed and

they were restored again and on a mere oral plea for restoration, the orders of dismissal were recalled and posted for hearing. There is no representation for the petitioners again. The respondents are present.

2. After moving innumerable applications keeping them pending, it is unfair that the Union must conduct itself in a way that prevents the claimants from reaping the benefit of orders already passed.

3. The revision petitions are dismissed with costs ₹ 5,000/- in two sets in favour of the respondents.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

14.01.2016
sanjeev