

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.456 of 2016

Date of Decision : 25.01.2016

Chunni Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sham Lal

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order dated 26.11.2015 passed by the Rent Controller, Bathinda rejecting the application filed by the petitioner to take off the record the rejoinder filed by the respondent No.1-landlord on the ground that it was filed late and even after the issues were framed.

Learned counsel on being asked has fairly accepted that the landlord had taken the plea of sub-letting in the petition and no fresh plea as such was taken in rejoinder.

In the circumstances, I do not consider it to be such a misuse of the discretion as to warrant the interference of this Court in the revisional

jurisdiction.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil
miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 25, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**