

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 4541 of 2015
Date of decision : March 28, 2016

Rajat Arora

..... Petitioner

Versus

Brij Mohan and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The petitioner-defendant is aggrieved of the order dated 1.7.2015 whereby his evidence has been closed by order.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-defendant submits that he wants to examine two witnesses through process of the court and in case, one opportunity is granted, he will conclude the entire evidence.

He further submits that the diet money and process fee has already been paid.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner-defendant and appraised the paper book and of the view that no

doubt, the petitioner-defendant was negligent in concluding the evidence. However, in order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner-defendant to conclude the entire evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order dated 1.7.2015 is set aside and the petitioner-defendant shall conclude the evidence in accordance with law subject to payment of costs of ₹5,000/- which shall be condition precedent. The court shall make endeavour to summon and effect service upon the witnesses.

Accordingly, revision petition stands allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 28, 2016
archana