

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

**C.M. NOS.13929-30 CII OF 2015 &
CIVIL REVISION NO.4521 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 02, 2016**

M/s Kisan Machinery Works, Ludhiana and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Rattan Kumar and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Deepak Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

None for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners states that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the possession of the demised premises has been handed over to the respondent-landlord in execution proceedings.

In view of the above, as per the statement made by counsel for the petitioners, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**June 02, 2016
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**