

210.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4242 of 2014

Date of decision:25.05.2016

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

Sunil Kumar

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.P. Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

In a suit for partition, an objection is taken by a brother against his brother that ad valorem court has to be paid on the market value. The objection is erroneous and cannot found a justification for rejection of a plaint. The order already passed already is maintained and the revision petition is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

25.05.2016
sanjeev