

217.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4236 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:17.05.2016

Prem Pal

... Petitioner

versus

Kalyan Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The dismissal of the petition seeking for extension of time for deposit of court fee is erroneous. It only means that the court has failed to exercise the discretion which is verily existed in it.

2. The order is erroneous and the revision petition is allowed. The court fee is directed to be received and the case disposed of in accordance with law.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

17.05.2016

sanjeev