

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4405 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 23, 2016

M/s Sunglass Furniture House

...Petitioner

Versus

Urmil Kumari & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Rajotia, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the petitioner states that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as possession of the demised premises has been delivered by the petitioner to the respondents.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

September 23, 2016
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No